arXiv:math/0303298v1 [math.QA] 24 Mar 2003

On quasi-Poisson homogeneous spaces of quasi-Poisson Lie groups

Eugene Karolinsky, Kolya Muzykin

1 Introduction

The notion of Poisson Lie group and its infinitesimal counterpart, Lie bialgebra, was introduced by Drinfeld [4]. Later it was explained that these objects are quasiclassical limits of Hopf QUE algebras. In [5] the more general objects, quasi-Hopf QUE algebras, were introduced along with their quasiclassical limits, Lie quasi-bialgebras. The corresponding geometric objects, quasi-Poisson Lie groups, were first studied by Kosmann-Schwarzbach [8].

It is well known that Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}$ are in a natural 1-1 correspondence with Lie algebra structures on $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ are subalgebras in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the natural bilinear form on $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ is invariant. Respectively, in order to get a Lie quasi-bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$, one should drop the condition that $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is a subalgebra in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Along with (quasi-)Poisson Lie groups it is natural to study their (quasi-) Poisson actions [1, 2] and, in particular, (quasi-)Poisson homogeneous spaces. Drinfeld in [6] presented an approach to the classification of Poisson homogeneous spaces. Namely, he showed that if G is a Poisson Lie group, $\mathfrak{g}$ is the corresponding Lie bialgebra, then the isomorphism classes of Poisson homogeneous G-spaces are essentially in a 1-1 correspondence with the G-orbits of Lagrangian subalgebras in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$.

The main goal of this paper is to generalize this result to the quasi-Poisson case (see Theorem 3). We also study the behavior of quasi-Poisson homogeneous spaces under twisting. Some examples showing the technique of Lagrangian subalgebras are also provided.

It also turns out that quasi-Poisson homogeneous spaces, as well as Poisson ones, are related to solutions of the classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation (see [7, 10] for the Poisson case). This topic will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Alexander Stolin for useful discussions on the topic of the paper.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

We will use the following normalization of the wedge product of multivector fields on a smooth manifold. If v is a m-vector field, w is a n-vector field, then

$$v \wedge w = \frac{1}{n!m!} \operatorname{Alt}(v \otimes w),$$

where

$$\operatorname{Alt}(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes x_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(k)}.$$

We will denote by $[\![\ ,\ ]\!]$ the Schouten bracket of multivector fields (see, e.g., [2]).

Let G be a Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie} G$ its Lie algebra. For any $v \in \bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}$ denote by v^λ (resp. v^ρ) the left (resp. right) invariant multivector field that corresponds to v, i.e., $v^\lambda(g) = (l_g)_* v$, $v^\rho(g) = (r_g)_* v$ for all $g \in G$, where l_g (resp. r_g) is the left (resp. right) translation by g.

Suppose that G acts smoothly on a smooth manifold X. Then for any $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ we denote by v_X the corresponding vector field on X, i.e.,

$$(v_X f)(x) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(\exp tv \cdot x)$$

for any $x \in X$. Similarly, for $v \in \bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}$ one can define the multivector field v_X. For any $x \in X$ consider the map $\rho_x : G \to X$, $\rho_x(g) = g \cdot x$. Then $(\rho_x)_* v = v_X(x)$ for $v \in \mathfrak{g}$.

For any point $x \in X$ we denote by $H_x = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot x = x\}$ its stabilizer. Let $\mathfrak{h}_x = \operatorname{Lie} H_x \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Suppose now that X is a homogeneous G-space. In this case we will identify $T_x X$ with $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x$ for all $x \in X$. Fix $x \in X$ and for any $f \in C^\infty X$ define $f^G \in C^\infty G$ by the formula $f^G(g) = (f \circ \rho_x)(g) = f(g \cdot x)$. Note that the mapping $f \mapsto f^G$ is an isomorphism between the spaces of smooth functions on X and right H_x-invariant smooth functions on G.

2.2 Quasi-Poisson Lie groups and quasi-Poisson actions

Following [1], we define the notion of quasi-Poisson Lie group and the notion of quasi-Poisson action.

Definition 1. Let G be a Lie group, $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra, P_G a bivector field on G, and $\varphi \in \bigwedge^3 \mathfrak{g}$. A triple (G, P_G, φ) is called a *quasi-Poisson Lie group*

if

$$P_G \text{ is } \textit{multiplicative}, \text{ i.e., } P_G(gg') = (l_g)_* P_G(g') + (r_{g'})_* P_G(g), \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}[\![P_G, P_G]\!] = \varphi^\rho - \varphi^\lambda, \tag{2}$$

$$[\![P_G, \varphi^\rho]\!] = 0. \tag{3}$$

The notion of Poisson Lie group is a special case of the notion of quasi-Poisson Lie group. Namely, for any Poisson Lie group (G, P_G) the triple $(G, P_G, 0)$ is a quasi-Poisson Lie group.

Consider the mapping $\eta : G \to \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ defined by $\eta(g) = (r_g^{-1})_* P_G(g)$. It is a $\mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-cocycle of G with respect to the adjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$, i.e.,

$$\eta(g_1 g_2) = \eta(g_1) + \mathrm{Ad}_{g_1} \eta(g_2).$$

Here $\mathrm{Ad}_g(x \otimes y) = (\mathrm{Ad}_g x) \otimes (\mathrm{Ad}_g y)$. The cocyclicity of η is equivalent to the multiplicativity condition (1).

Consider $\delta = d_e \eta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$. It is a 1-cocycle of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$, i.e.,

$$\delta([x, y]) = \mathrm{ad}_x \delta(y) - \mathrm{ad}_y \delta(x),$$

where $\mathrm{ad}_x(y \otimes z) = [x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, y \otimes z] = \mathrm{ad}_x y \otimes z + y \otimes \mathrm{ad}_x z$.

Definition 2. Suppose (G, P_G, φ) is a quasi-Poisson Lie group, G acts smoothly on a smooth manifold X, P_X is a bivector field on X. The action of G on X is called *quasi-Poisson* if

$$P_X(gx) = (l_g)_* P_X(x) + (\rho_x)_* P_G(g), \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}[\![P_X, P_X]\!] = \varphi_X \tag{5}$$

(here l_g denotes the mapping $x \mapsto g \cdot x$).

Let us consider the case $\varphi = 0$, i.e., G is a Poisson Lie group. Then the condition (5) means that X is a Poisson manifold, and from (4) it follows that the action of G on X is Poisson.

Definition 3. Suppose that (G, P_G, φ) is a quasi-Poisson group, G acts smoothly on a manifold X equipped with a bivector field P_X, and this action is quasi-Poisson. We call X a *quasi-Poisson homogeneous G-space* if the action of G on X is transitive.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that (G, P_G, φ) is a quasi-Poisson group, X is a homogeneous G-space, P_X is a bivector field on X. Then the condition* (4) *is equivalent to*

$$P_X(gx) = \mathrm{Ad}_g P_X(x) + \overline{\eta(g)}, \tag{6}$$

where $\mathrm{Ad}_g : \bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x) \to \bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_{gx})$ *is the isomorphism of the vector spaces induced by the automorphism* $\mathrm{Ad}_g : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$*, and* $\overline{\eta(g)}$ *is the image of* $\eta(g)$ *in* $\bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_{gx})$. □

2.3 Lie quasi-bialgebras

Recall that a Poisson Lie structure on a Lie group G induces the structure of a Lie bialgebra on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}\, G$. A quasi-Poisson structure on a Lie group G induces a similar structure on $\mathfrak{g}$. We follow [5] in defining the notion of Lie quasi-bialgebra.

Definition 4. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, δ a $\mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-cocycle of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\varphi \in \bigwedge^3 \mathfrak{g}$. A triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi)$ is called a *Lie quasi-bialgebra* if

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\delta(x) = \mathrm{ad}_x\,\varphi \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})\varphi = 0, \tag{8}$$

where $\mathrm{ad}_x(a \otimes b \otimes c) = [x \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x, a \otimes b \otimes c]$.

The equation (7) is called the quasi co-Jacobi identity.

If we set $\varphi = 0$, then the notion of Lie quasi-bialgebra coincides with the notion of Lie bialgebra. In this case the equation (7) becomes the ordinary co-Jacoby identity, and the condition (8) is obviously satisfied.

For any quasi-Poisson Lie group (G, P_G, φ) there exists a Lie quasi-bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ given by the 1-cocycle $\delta = d_e\eta$ and φ. Conversely, to any Lie quasi-bialgebra there corresponds a unique connected and simply connected quasi-Poisson Lie group (see [9]).

Given any linear map $\delta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ we can define the skew-symmetric bilinear operation on $\mathfrak{g}^*$: for all $l, m \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ set $[l, m]_\delta = \delta^*(l \otimes m)$.

Recall that for any Lie quasi-bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi)$ one can construct the so-called *double Lie algebra* $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ (see [3]):

let $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$ as a vector space;

define the bilinear operation $[\ ,\ ]_{\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})}$ on $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the following conditions:

1. $[a, b]_{\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})} = [a, b] \quad$ for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$;
2. $[l, m]_{\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})} = [l, m]_\delta - (l \otimes m \otimes \mathrm{id})\varphi \quad$ for $l, m \in \mathfrak{g}^*$;
3. $[a, l]_{\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})} = \mathrm{coad}_a\, l - \mathrm{coad}_l\, a \quad$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}, l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

where $\mathrm{coad}_l : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is defined by $\big\langle \mathrm{coad}_l\, a, m \big\rangle = -\big\langle [l, m]_\delta, a \big\rangle = -\big\langle l \otimes m, \delta(a) \big\rangle$, and $\mathrm{coad}_a : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined by $\big\langle \mathrm{coad}_a\, l, b \big\rangle = -\big\langle l, [a, b] \big\rangle$. Here and below $\big\langle\ ,\ \big\rangle$ denotes the standard pairing between $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

We denote by $Q(\ ,\ )$ the following invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$Q(a + l, b + m) = \big\langle l, b \big\rangle + \big\langle m, a \big\rangle.$$

Suppose G is a quasi-Poisson Lie group, $\mathfrak{g}$ is the corresponding Lie quasi-bialgebra, $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ is its double Lie algebra. Then the adjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}$ can be extended to the action of G on $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$g \cdot (a + l) = \mathrm{Ad}_g\, a + (l' \otimes \mathrm{id})\eta(g) + l',$$

where $l' = (\mathrm{Ad}_g^{-1})^* l$. The differential of this action is the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$.

3 Main results

In [6] the characterization of all Poisson homogeneous structures on a given homogeneous G-space in terms of Lagrangian subalgebras in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ is presented. We generalize this result to the quasi-Poisson case.

Suppose G is a quasi-Poisson Lie group, X is a quasi-Poisson homogeneous G-space. Recall that we identify T_xX and $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x$ for all $x \in X$. For any $x \in X$ define

$$L_x = \left\{ a + l \mid a \in \mathfrak{g},\ l \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^* = \mathfrak{h}_x^\perp \subset \mathfrak{g}^*,\ (l \otimes \mathrm{id})P_X(x) = \overline{a} \right\},$$

where $\overline{a}$ is the image of a in $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x$.

Lemma 2. *L_x is Lagrangian (that is, maximal isotropic) subspace in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$, and $L_x \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_x$.* □

Denote by Λ the set of all Lagrangian subalgebras in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 3. *Suppose (G, P_G, φ) is a quasi-Poisson Lie group, (X, P_X) is a quasi-Poisson homogeneous G-space. Then the following statements hold:*

1. *L_x is a subalgebra in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ for all $x \in X$;*
2. *$L_{gx} = g \cdot L_x$;*
3. *Thus we get a bijection between the set of all G-quasi-Poisson structures on X and the set of G-equivariant maps $x \mapsto L_x$ from X to Λ such that $L_x \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_x$ for all $x \in X$.*

Corollary 4. *There is a bijection between the set of all isomorphism classes of quasi-Poisson homogeneous G-spaces and the set of G-conjugacy classes of pairs (L, H), where $L \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Lagrangian subalgebra, H is a closed subgroup in $G_L = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot L = L\}$, and $L \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}\, H$.* □

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3. We start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let P be a bivector field on a smooth manifold X. Define $\{f_1, f_2\} = P(df_1, df_2)$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty X$. Then*

$$\oint \{\{f_1, f_2\}, f_3\} = -\frac{1}{2}[\![P, P]\!](df_1, df_2, df_3), \tag{9}$$

where $\oint$ denotes the sum over all cyclic permutations of f_1, f_2, f_3

Proof. Straightforward computation. □

Lemma 6. *$L_{gx} = g \cdot L_x$ iff (6) holds.*

Proof. By definition,

$$L_x = \{a + l \mid a \in \mathfrak{g}, l \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^*, (l \otimes \mathrm{id}) P_X(x) = \overline{a}\},$$

$$L_{gx} = \left\{a' + l' \mid a' \in \mathfrak{g}, l' \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_{gx})^*, (l' \otimes \mathrm{id}) P_X(gx) = \overline{a'}\right\}.$$

It is enough to check that

$$g \cdot L_x = \left\{a' + l' \mid a' \in \mathfrak{g}, l' \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_{gx})^*, (l' \otimes \mathrm{id})\big(\mathrm{Ad}_g P_X(x) + \overline{\eta(g)}\big) = \overline{a'}\right\}.$$

Consider $a' + l' = g \cdot (a + l), a \in \mathfrak{g}, l \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^*$, that is,

$$l' = (\mathrm{Ad}_g^{-1})^* l,\ a' = \mathrm{Ad}_g\, a + (l' \otimes \mathrm{id})\eta(g).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(l' \otimes \mathrm{id})\big(\mathrm{Ad}_g P_X(x) + \overline{\eta(g)}\big) = \\
&(l \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{Ad}_g^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{Ad}_g \otimes \mathrm{Ad}_g) P_X(x) + (l' \otimes \mathrm{id})\overline{\eta(g)} = \\
&\mathrm{Ad}_g (l \otimes \mathrm{id}) P_X(x) + (l' \otimes \mathrm{id})\overline{\eta(g)}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $(l' \otimes \mathrm{id})\big(\mathrm{Ad}_g P_X(x) + \overline{\eta(g)}\big) = \overline{a'}$ if and only if $a + l \in L_x$. This proves the required equality. □

Now we are heading for the first statement of the theorem.

Let e_i form a basis in $\mathfrak{g}$, ∂_i (resp. ∂_i') be the right (resp. left) invariant vector field on G that corresponds to e_i.

Suppose $\eta(g) = \eta^{ij}(g) e_i \wedge e_j$. Then $P_G = \eta^{ij} \partial_i \wedge \partial_j$. Choose any $r \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ such that the image of r in $\bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)$ equals $P_X(x)$. Define

$$\mathrm{CYB}(r) = [r^{12}, r^{13}] + [r^{12}, r^{23}] + [r^{13}, r^{23}].$$

Lemma 7. *Assume that (4) holds. Then the image of*

$$\varphi - \mathrm{CYB}(r) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(r)$$

in $\bigwedge^3(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)$ vanishes iff (5) holds.

Proof. From (4) it follows that

$$P_X(gx)(d_{gx}f_1, d_{gx}f_2) = ((l_g)_* P_X(x) + (\rho_x)_* P_G(g))\,(d_{gx}f_1, d_{gx}f_2) =$$
$$P_X(x)(d_x(f_1 \circ l_g), d_x(f_2 \circ l_g)) + P_G(g)(d_g(f_1 \circ \rho_x), d_g(f_2 \circ \rho_x)) =$$
$$r(d_e(f_1 \circ l_g)^G, d_e(f_2 \circ l_g)^G) + P_G(g)(d_g f_1^G, d_g f_2^G) =$$
$$(r^\lambda(g) + P_G(g))(d_g f_1^G, d_g f_2^G).$$

For any $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty G$ define the bracket

$$\{f_1, f_2\}(g) = (r^\lambda(g) + P_G(g))(d_g f_1, d_g f_2).$$

Using Lemma 5 we see that

$$\oint \{\{f_1, f_2\}_X, f_3\}_X(g \cdot x) = \oint \{\{f_1^G, f_2^G\}, f_3^G\}(g) =$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}[\![P_G + r^\lambda, P_G + r^\lambda]\!](df_1^G, df_2^G, df_3^G)(g).$$

Lemma 8. $[\![P_G + r^\lambda, P_G + r^\lambda]\!] = 2\left(\varphi^\rho - \varphi^\lambda + \mathrm{CYB}(r)^\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(r)^\lambda\right)$

Proof. Using the graded anticommutativity of Schouten bracket, we get

$$[\![P_G + r^\lambda, P_G + r^\lambda]\!] = [\![P_G, P_G]\!] + 2[\![P_G, r^\lambda]\!] + [\![r^\lambda, r^\lambda]\!].$$

From (2) it follows that

$$[\![P_G, P_G]\!] = 2(\varphi^\rho - \varphi^\lambda).$$

We will calculate the rest of the terms on the right hand side using coordinates. Let $r = r^{ij} e_i \wedge e_j$. Then $r^\lambda = r^{ij} \partial'_i \wedge \partial'_j$, and

$$[\![r^\lambda, r^\lambda]\!] = -4 r^{\mu\nu} r^{ij} [\![\partial'_\mu, \partial'_i]\!] \wedge \partial'_j \wedge \partial'_\nu =$$
$$-4 r^{\mu\nu} r^{ij}\,\mathrm{Alt}\left([\![\partial'_\mu, \partial'_i]\!] \otimes \partial'_j \otimes \partial'_\nu\right) = -\,\mathrm{Alt}\left([r^{13}, r^{12}]\right)^\lambda = 2\,\mathrm{CYB}(r)^\lambda.$$

Now we prove that $[\![P_G, r^\lambda]\!] = -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(r)^\lambda$. We have

$$[\![P_G, r^\lambda]\!] = [\![\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \wedge \partial_\nu, r^{ij} \partial'_i \wedge \partial'_j]\!] =$$
$$r^{ij}\left([\![\partial'_i, \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu]\!] \wedge \partial'_j \wedge \partial_\nu - [\![\partial'_j, \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu]\!] \wedge \partial'_i \wedge \partial_\nu\right) =$$
$$2 r^{ij} [\![\partial'_i, \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu]\!] \wedge \partial'_j \wedge \partial_\nu = -2 r^{ij} (\partial'_i \eta^{\mu\nu}) \partial_\mu \wedge \partial_\nu \wedge \partial'_j.$$

Using the cocyclicity of η, we get

$$\partial'_i \eta^{\mu\nu}(g) e_\mu \wedge e_\nu = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \eta^{\mu\nu}(g \exp t e_i) e_\mu \wedge e_\nu =$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} (\eta^{\mu\nu}(g) e_\mu \wedge e_\nu + \mathrm{Ad}_g(\eta^{\mu\nu}(\exp t e_i) e_\mu \wedge e_\nu)) =$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \eta^{kl}(\exp t e_i)(\mathrm{Ad}_g)^\mu_k (\mathrm{Ad}_g)^\nu_l e_\mu \wedge e_\nu =$$
$$\partial'_i \eta^{kl}(e)(\mathrm{Ad}_g)^\mu_k (\mathrm{Ad}_g)^\nu_l e_\mu \wedge e_\nu,$$

where $\mathrm{Ad}_g\, e_k = (\mathrm{Ad}_g)^\mu_k e_\mu$. So, $\partial'_i \eta^{\mu\nu}(g) = \partial'_i \eta^{kl}(e)(\mathrm{Ad}_g)^\mu_k (\mathrm{Ad}_g)^\nu_l$.

Continuing our calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\![P_G, r^\lambda]\!](g) = -2r^{ij}(\partial'_i\eta^{\mu\nu})(g)\partial_\mu(g)\wedge\partial_\nu(g)\wedge\partial'_j(g) = \\
&-2r^{ij}\partial'_i\eta^{kl}(e)(\mathrm{Ad}_g)^\mu_k(\mathrm{Ad}_g)^\nu_l\partial_\mu(g)\wedge\partial_\nu(g)\wedge\partial'_j(g) = \\
&-2r^{ij}\partial'_i\eta^{\mu\nu}(e)\partial'_\mu(g)\wedge\partial'_\nu(g)\wedge\partial'_j(g) = \\
&-2r^{ij}\partial'_i\eta^{\mu\nu}(e)\,\mathrm{Alt}\left(\partial'_\mu(g)\otimes\partial'_\nu(g)\otimes\partial'_j(g)\right) = \\
&-r^{ij}\,\mathrm{Alt}\left((d_e\eta(e_i))^\lambda(g)\otimes\partial'_j(g)\right) = -r^{ij}\,\mathrm{Alt}\left(\delta(e_i)\otimes e_j\right)^\lambda(g) = \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Alt}(\delta\otimes\mathrm{id})r\right)^\lambda(g).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we finish the proof of Lemma 7. From the definition of a quasi-Poisson action it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\oint\{\{f_1,f_2\}_X,f_3\}_X(g\cdot x) = -\varphi_X(df_1,df_2,df_3)(g\cdot x) = \\
&-\varphi^\rho(df_1^G,df_2^G,df_3^G)(g).
\end{aligned}$$

It means that for all $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in C^\infty X$ we have

$$\left(\varphi - \mathrm{CYB}(r) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta\otimes\mathrm{id})r\right)^\lambda (df_1^G, df_2^G, df_3^G) = 0.$$

Consequently, for all $l, m, n \in \mathfrak{h}_x^\perp$ we get

$$\left\langle \varphi - \mathrm{CYB}(r) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta\otimes\mathrm{id})r, l\otimes m\otimes n\right\rangle = 0,$$

which proves the statement of the lemma. □

Lemma 9. *Assume that* (4) *holds. Then L_x is a subalgebra in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if the image of the tensor $\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta\otimes\mathrm{id})(r) - \mathrm{CYB}(r)$ in $\bigwedge^3(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)$ vanishes.*

Proof. Consider the mapping $R : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}$ that corresponds to $r \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$:

$$R(l) = (l\otimes\mathrm{id})r = \sum_i l(r'_i)r''_i,$$

where $r = \sum_i r'_i \otimes r''_i$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_x = \{a + l \mid a\in\mathfrak{g}, l\in(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^*, (l\otimes\mathrm{id})\overline{r} = \overline{a}\} = \\
&\left\{a + l \mid a\in\mathfrak{g}, l\in\mathfrak{h}_x^\perp, \overline{R(l)} = \overline{a}\right\} = \left\{l + R(l) \mid l\in\mathfrak{h}_x^\perp\right\} + \mathfrak{h}_x.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 6 it follows that $h \cdot L_x = L_{hx} = L_x$ for any $h \in H_x$. Consequently, for all $a \in \mathfrak{h}_x$ we have $\mathrm{ad}_a(L_x) \subset L_x$. So L_x is a Lie subalgebra in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if $[l_1 + R(l_1), l_2 + R(l_2)] \in L_x$ for any $l_1, l_2 \in \mathfrak{h}_x^\perp$.

Choose any $l_1, l_2, l_3 \in \mathfrak{h}_x^\perp$. We are going to check that

$$Q([l_1 + R(l_1), l_2 + R(l_2)], l_3 + R(l_3)) =$$
$$\big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2 \otimes l_3, -\varphi + \mathrm{CYB}(r) - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r \big\rangle.$$

Indeed,

$$\big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2 \otimes l_3, [r^{12}, r^{13}] \big\rangle = \big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2 \otimes l_3, \sum_{i,j} [r'_i, r'_j] \otimes r''_i \otimes r''_j \big\rangle =$$
$$\big\langle l_1, \sum_{i,j} [\big\langle l_2, r''_i \big\rangle r'_i, \big\langle l_3, r''_j \big\rangle r'_j] \big\rangle = Q(l_1, [R(l_2), R(l_3)]) =$$
$$Q([l_1, R(l_2)], R(l_3)).$$

Similarly,

$$\big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2 \otimes l_3, [r^{12}, r^{23}] \big\rangle = Q([R(l_1), l_2], R(l_3)),$$
$$\big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2 \otimes l_3, [r^{13}, r^{23}] \big\rangle = Q([R(l_1), R(l_2)], l_3).$$

It is easy to see that $\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r = (\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r + \tau(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r + \tau^2(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r$, where $\tau(x \otimes y \otimes z) = z \otimes x \otimes y$. We have

$$\big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2 \otimes l_3, (\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r \big\rangle = \sum_i \big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2, \delta(r'_i) \big\rangle \big\langle l_3, r''_i \big\rangle =$$
$$\sum_i \big\langle [l_1, l_2]_\delta, \big\langle l_3, r''_i \big\rangle r'_i \big\rangle = -Q([l_1, l_2], R(l_3)),$$

$$\big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2 \otimes l_3, \tau(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r \big\rangle = -Q([R(l_1), l_2], l_3),$$
$$\big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2 \otimes l_3, \tau^2(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r \big\rangle = -Q([l_1, R(l_2)], l_3),$$
$$\big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2 \otimes l_3, \varphi \big\rangle = -Q([l_1, l_2], l_3).$$

Adding up all the terms on the right hand side and using the fact that $Q([R(l_1), R(l_2)], R(l_3)) = 0$ we see that

$$Q([l_1 + R(l_1), l_2 + R(l_2)], l_3 + R(l_3)) =$$
$$\big\langle l_1 \otimes l_2 \otimes l_3, -\varphi + \mathrm{CYB}(r) - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r \big\rangle.$$

The r.h.s. of this equality vanishes for any $l_1, l_2, l_3 \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^*$ iff the image of $\varphi - \mathrm{CYB}(r) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r$ in $\bigwedge^3(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)$ vanishes.

The l.h.s. vanishes for any $l_1, l_2, l_3 \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)^*$ iff $Q([l_1 + R(l_1), l_2 + R(l_2)], L_x)$ vanishes, i.e., since L_x is maximal isotropic, iff $[l_1 + R(l_1), l_2 + R(l_2)] \in L_x$.

This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Suppose $v \in \bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)$. Consider the mapping $v \mapsto L_v$, where

$$L_v = \{a + l \mid a \in \mathfrak{g},\, l \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x,\, (l \otimes \mathrm{id})v = \overline{a}\}.$$

This is a bijection between $\bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_x)$ and the set of all Lagrangian subspaces $L \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $L \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_x$.

Further, there is a bijection between bivector fields P_X on X and smooth maps $x \mapsto L_x$ from X to the set of all Lagrangian subspaces in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $L_x \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_x$ for all $x \in X$.

From Lemmas 6, 7 and 9 it follows that (X, P_X) is a quasi-Poisson homogeneous G-space iff the corresponding map $x \mapsto L_x$ is G-equivariant, subalgebra-valued, and $L_x \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_x$ for all $x \in X$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.

4 Twisting

Let G be a Lie group. Suppose (P_G, φ) and (P'_G, φ') are quasi-Poisson structures on G.

Definition 5 (see [9]). (G, P'_G, φ') is *obtained by twisting* (by $r \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$) from (G, P_G, φ) if

$$P'_G = P_G + r^\lambda - r^\rho,$$

$$\varphi' = \varphi + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r - \mathrm{CYB}(r).$$

There is a similar relation on Lie quasi-bialgebras. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, (δ, φ) and (δ', φ') are Lie quasi-bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 6 (see [5, 9]). $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta', \varphi')$ is *obtained by twisting* (by $r \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$) from $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi)$ if

$$\delta'(x) = \delta(x) + \mathrm{ad}_x\, r \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

$$\varphi' = \varphi + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r - \mathrm{CYB}(r).$$

Twisting is an equivalence relation.

If (G, P'_G, φ') is obtained by twisting from (G, P_G, φ) then the corresponding Lie quasi-bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta', \varphi')$ is obtained by twisting from $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi)$. The converse holds if G is connected.

Denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta', \varphi')$ the double Lie algebras of Lie quasi-bialgebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta', \varphi')$ respectively. The following result is obtained in [5].

Theorem 10. *$(\mathfrak{g}, \delta', \varphi')$ is obtained by twisting from $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi)$ if and only if there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism $f_r : \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta', \varphi')$ fixing all the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ and preserving the canonical bilinear forms on the doubles.*

Suppose that (G, P'_G, φ') is obtained by twisting from (G, P_G, φ). Let $r \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ be the corresponding bivector. Then $f_r : \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta', \varphi')$, $f_r(a + l) = a + l + (l \otimes \mathrm{id})r$ is the corresponding Lie algebra isomorphism.

Using f_r we can identify $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta', \varphi')$. Since f_r preserves the canonical bilinear forms, the sets of Lagrangian subalgebras under this identification are the same.

Theorem 11. *Let (X, P_X) be a homogeneous quasi-Poisson (G, P_G, φ)-space. Then $(X, P_X - r_X)$ is a homogeneous quasi-Poisson (G, P'_G, φ')-space, and the map $P_X \mapsto P_X - r_X$ is a bijection between the set of all (G, P_G, φ)- and (G, P'_G, φ')-quasi-Poisson structures on X.*

Proof. Denote by Λ (resp. Λ') the set of all Lagrangian Lie subalgebras in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta, \varphi)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta', \varphi')$).

Theorem 3 gives us the G-equivariant map $x \mapsto L_x$ from X to Λ such that $L_x \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_x$ defined by

$$L_x = \{a + l \mid a \in \mathfrak{g},\ l \in \mathfrak{h}_x^\perp,\ (l \otimes \mathrm{id})P_X(x) = \overline{a}\}.$$

On the other hand, consider the map $x \mapsto L'_x$ from X to the set of subspaces in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta', \varphi')$ corresponding to $P_X - r_X$:

$$L'_x = \{a + l \mid a \in \mathfrak{g},\ l \in \mathfrak{h}_x^\perp,\ (l \otimes \mathrm{id})(P_X(x) - r_X) = \overline{a}\}.$$

It is easy to see that $f_r(L_x) = L'_x$. Since f_r is a Lie algebra isomorphism, preserves the canonical bilinear forms on the doubles and commutes with the action of G on the doubles, the map $x \mapsto L'_x$ is a G-equivariant map from X to Λ'. Since f_r fixes all the points of $\mathfrak{g}$, we have $L'_x \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_x$. From Theorem 3 it follows that $P_X - r_X$ defines a (G, P'_G, φ')-quasi-Poisson structure on X.

Obviously, the map $P_X \mapsto P_X - r_X$ from the set of all (G, P_G, φ)-quasi-Poisson structures on X to the set of all (G, P'_G, φ')-quasi-Poisson structures on X is injective. Similarly, the map $P'_X \mapsto P'_X + r_X$ transforms a (G, P'_G, φ')-structure to a (G, P_G, φ)-structure. Thus, we have a bijection. □

5 Examples

Recall that if (G, P_G) is a Poisson Lie group, then the homogeneous G-spaces $X = \{x\}$ and $Y = G$ admit the structure of Poisson homogeneous (G, P_G)-spaces. Here we consider the quasi-Poisson case.

Example 1. Let (G, P_G, φ) be a quasi-Poisson Lie group, $X = \{x\}$ is a homogeneous G-space, $P_X = 0$ is the only bivector field on X. Then the (trivial) action of G on X is quasi-Poisson. The corresponding Lagrangian subalgebra is $\mathfrak{g}$.

Example 2. Consider the action of a connected quasi-Poisson Lie group (G, P_G, φ) on $Y = G$ by left translations. By Theorem 3, there is a bijection between the set of G-quasi-Poisson structures on Y and the set of G-conjugacy classes of Lagrangian subalgebras $L \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $L \cap \mathfrak{g} = 0$.

The map $r \mapsto L_r = \{a + l \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid (l \otimes \mathrm{id})r = a\}$ from $\bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ to the set of Lagrangian subspaces in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ transversal to $\mathfrak{g}$ is a bijection. On the other hand, L_r is a Lie subalgebra iff $\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r - \mathrm{CYB}(r) = 0$.

Thus Y can be a quasi-Poisson homogeneous G-space if and only if G is obtained by twisting from a Poisson Lie group. In this case there is a 1-1 correspondence between the solutions of the equation

$$\mathrm{CYB}(r) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})r = \varphi$$

and (G, P_G, φ)-quasi-Poisson structures on Y given by $P_Y = P_G + r^\lambda$.

Let us also introduce the following purely quasi-Poisson example.

Example 3. Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra with a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form $(\ |\ )$. Let G be a connected Lie group such that $\operatorname{Lie} G = \mathfrak{g}$. Consider the "Manin quasi-triple" (see [1]) $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2)$, where $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 = \{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}\} \simeq \mathfrak{g},\ \ \mathfrak{a}_2 = \{(x, -x) \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}\},$$

and $\mathfrak{a}$ is equipped with a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form $((a, b), (c, d)) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\left((a|c) - (b|d)\right)$. It is easy to calculate that the corresponding Lie quasi-bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by $\delta = 0$, $\varphi = [\Omega^{12}, \Omega^{23}] = -\mathrm{CYB}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \in (S^2\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ corresponds to $(\ |\ )$. This Lie quasi-bialgebra gives rise to the quasi-Poisson Lie group $(G, 0, \varphi)$.

Pick any $g \in G$, and consider the Lagrangian subalgebra

$$L_g = \{(x, y) \mid y = \operatorname{Ad}_g x\} \subset \mathfrak{a}.$$

It can be shown that it corresponds to the quasi-Poisson homogeneous space (C_g, P), where $C_g \subset G$ is the conjugacy class of g, and

$$P(g) = (r_g \otimes l_g - l_g \otimes r_g)(\Omega).$$

Moreover, one can show that (G, P) is a quasi-Poisson G-manifold with respect to the action by conjugation, and (C_g, P) are "quasi-Poisson G-submanifolds" of (G, P) (see [2], where this example was introduced and studied for a compact Lie group G).

References

[1] A. Alekseev and Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. Manin pairs and moment maps. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 56:133–165, 2000.

[2] A. Alekseev, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, and E. Meinrenken. Quasi-Poisson manifolds. *Canad. J. Math.*, 54:3–29, 2002.

[3] M. Bangoura and Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. The double of a Jacobian quasi-bialgebra. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 28:13–29, 1993.

[4] V. G. Drinfeld. Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras, and the geometric meaning of the classical Yang-Baxter equations. *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 27:68–71, 1983.

[5] V. G. Drinfeld. Quasi-Hopf algebras. *Leningrad J. Math.*, 1(6):114–148, 1990.

[6] V. G. Drinfeld. On Poisson homogeneous spaces of Poisson-Lie groups. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 95:226–227, 1993.

[7] E. Karolinsky and A. Stolin. Classical dynamical r-matrices, Poisson homogeneous spaces, and Lagrangian subalgebras. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 60:257–274, 2002.

[8] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. Quasi-bigèbres de Lie et groupes de Lie quasi-Poisson. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 312:391–394, 1991.

[9] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. Jacobian quasi-bialgebras and quasi-Poisson Lie groups. *Contemp. Math.*, 132:459–489, 1992.

[10] J.-H. Lu. Classical dynamical r-matrices and homogeneous Poisson structures on G/H and K/T. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 212:337–370, 2000.

E.K.: Department of Mathematics, Kharkov National University,
4 Svobody Sq., Kharkov, 61077, Ukraine;
Institute for Low Temperature Physics & Engineering,
47 Lenin Avenue, Kharkov, 61103, Ukraine
e-mail: `eugene.a.karolinsky@univer.kharkov.ua; karol@sky.net.ua`

K.M.: Department of Mathematics, Kharkov National University,
4 Svobody Sq., Kharkov, 61077, Ukraine
e-mail: `ono@ukr.net`